

#9

Interview Summary

Application No. 08/961,534

Applicant(s)

Behzad et al.

Examiner

James F. Hook

Group Art Unit 3753



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) <u>James F. Hook</u> (3)
(2) Mr. Uilkema (applicant's Representative) (4)
Date of Interview Oct 13, 1998
Bate of interview
Type: 🛮 Telephonic 🗆 Personal (copy is given to 🗀 applicant 🗀 applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗆 was not reached. Claim(s) discussed: 13
Identification of prior art discussed: Venzi
discussed the proposed changes to claim 13, (see attached) wherein the tack coat is applied to the pipe and then the reinforcement layer with a polymer matrix is applied to the tack coat. Pending further search and consideration such may be allowable. Also discussed a proposed independent claim directed toward the application of the resin in the composite layer being in an uncured state, partially curing while being put in place. Pending further search and consideration such may be allowable. It was also proposed that claims 1-12 directed toward the apparatus may be cancelled.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.
JAMES F. HOOK PATENT EXAMINER Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action. ART UNIT 3753